# THE ROLE OF COPYRIGHT LAW IN PROTECTING ONLINE CREATIVITY: A STUDY OF THE DIGITAL MILLENNIUM COPYRIGHT ACT

<sup>1</sup>SYED HAMMAD KHAN, <sup>2</sup> MUHAMMAD HAMZA ZAKIR, <sup>3</sup>SOBIA BASHIR, <sup>4</sup>AARZOO FARHAD, <sup>5</sup>KIRAN NISAR

<sup>1</sup> Department of Law, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan syedhammadk@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>LLM, Scholar, Department of Law, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. hamzazakirkhan@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor Law College, University of Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. sobiabashir@uop.edu.pk

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto Women University Peshawar. aarzoofarhad@sbbwu.edu.pk <sup>5</sup> Lecturer, Law College, University of Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

kirannasir007@uop.edu.pk

# Abstract

Under discussion in this article is the role of Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) in copyright protection system for digital creativity with a special focus on how algorithmic enforcement mechanisms help to protect rights of authors and copyright holders. Therefore, changes to how content is created, distributed and consumed have tested traditional approaches to copyrighting due to the internet and digital technology. In this regard, I will discuss the way DMCA responds to these challenges by examining its safe harbors as well as notice-and-takedown procedures plus anticircumvention provisions and weigh up their pros and cons for creators, consumers, intermediaries in a digital landscape. The writer employs judicial analysis, case studies and literature so as to find out whether or not the DMCA has managed to create an online space where innovation can flourish as well as safeguarding rights of copyright owners. Furthermore, some research brings about either good or bad effects tied to DMCA thus raising doubts over its aptness in terms of securing any future existence of originality on the net. Lastly, legislative proposals are made together with policy recommendations. This is done in order to balance both rights hence enabling copyright laws keep pace with the changes in digitalization that promotes digital creativity or inventiveness. Keywords - digitalization, inventiveness., traditional, discussion, procedures

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Certainly enough, innovation was further favored when copyright laws were crafted to safeguard written works as well as inventions. There has always been a copyright which is exclusive to writers concerning their writing activities but that is changing in the 21st century and creating new puzzles on account of technological advances within the digital ecosystem. No longer do we have to resort to the old balance of rewarding authors companies on one side and free speech or art at the other. In 1998 year of release copyright law became more modern due to digitalization and was allowed in lots of regulating that we use at present days, which are written with reference to the case when DMCA is used; it served as a veto for infringement offense (use DRM against online copyrights), there were no gaps yet. Title I is the WIPO Copyright and Performances, and Phonograms Treaties Implementation while title II being "Online Copyright Infringement Liability Limitation Act" DMCA also implements anti-circumvention measures with respect to DRMs, and includes provisions for managing copyright notice information. This study will evaluate whether the DMCA gets it right on how much protection should be offered for copyrights at this time when we have a rapidly expanding digital landscape through analyzing its implementation via its impact on creators, consumers as well as intermediaries.

# 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This section explores copyright law in the context of digital creativity and the DMCA's impact. The literature review assesses the efficacy of existing studies on DMCA and tackles criticisms and challenges posed by new forms of digital content creation.

The majority of legal literature on copyright law is built upon the assumption that copyright acts as a reward for creators by conferring exclusive rights to their works. Scholars such as Samuelson (2009) and Fisher (2013) discussed how legal frameworks have responded (or failed to respond) to the digital era where content can be easily copied and spread. This literature considers a tension between preservation of copyright holder's rights and an open innovation space for digital creativity (Lessig, 2004; Boyle, 2008).

Research on the DMCA has primarily focused on its dual policy goals of copyright protection and promotion of a dynamic online ecosystem. Also, there has been an assessment into effectiveness of safe harbor provisions for ISPs under DMCA laws as well as notice-and-takedown processes. For instance, Urban et al.'s research showed that such a system could have decreased piracy but at huge costs to free speech and innovation among other things (2017). The anti-circumvention provisions too have been termed as having unintended chilling effects in research, access, or fair use with mixed findings about their overall effectiveness in maintaining balance between safeguarding copyrights and promoting innovative entrepreneurial activity (Reid, 2015).

Similarly, the literature is replete with critiques against DMCA especially when digital content creation becomes more dominant. The criticism against DMCA borders around it being just innovative at its time lacking consideration for rapid technological changes while leaving ambiguities as well as enforcement challenges therein (Litman, 2010; Tushnet, 2014). There has been a long-standing debate among scholars and practitioners on whether the right balance has been struck under the DMCA. Some claim that it overly empowers copyright holder's thereby stifling innovation and limiting the freedom of creators and users in digital spaces (Aufderheide, 2011; Cohen, 2012).

In sum, this literature review highlights the complex relationship between copyright law and online creativity with particular attention to the DMCA's continuing management of creative works protection and promotion in the digital age. Although considerable insights have been gained from studies on DMCA effectiveness and criticisms, further research is needed to assess its long-term implications on copyright regime and its role in fostering or hindering digital innovation. Therefore, this article tries to explore how DMCA has encouraged online creativity through examining both its merits as well as demerits; thus it proposes a fresh approach that address these at their roots.

# 3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Rationale of copyright legislation based on dual level approach; on one hand, it is about protecting the rights of the creators and on the other hand, the interest of general public. Copyright law encompasses two main legal philosophies that underlie copyrightable works: Natural Rights Theory and Utilitarian Theory.

## Natural Rights Theory and Utilitarian Theory

Under natural rights theory, authors have some inherent right to control or profit from their intellectual property. It is an extension of John Locke's idea that people own what they create. Presented this as a moral right, so that authors and inventors might receive the reward due for their own works.

Hence, while a Utilitarian centric theory derived from Bentham would argue that copyrights should be given to all of humanity in order to stimulate further production by others. Stated differently, copyright represents a form of legislation to ensure that the creators bring their works into public domain which will eventually increase its commons.

# Balancing Copyright Protection and Online Innovation

One of the most pressing questions has been at the heart of digital age — whether information should be subject to copyright, or digitization is better driven by innovation and creativity. However, as IP is rights of an author now with content production and distribution at scale such ease in digital environment; this creates a law enforcement nightmare around copyright unless reasonable questions are asked how can laws serve both the progress & creativity (creativity as a right) without sacrificing on author right.



## innovation

This digital culture provides some brand new opportunities for creators to not only create and work together with a wide range of international collaborators toward shared goals, but it also allows them access to audiences around the world. Just one second ago it was the control freaks saying that we want everyone to go back into their little cages and no such thing as an open internet because anywhere where there refuge breeds creativity leading invention arguing overly strong copyright laws would strangle the growth of tech hence killing off nascent forms of creation.

## **Copyright Protection**

Unauthorized reproduction or redistribution in a digital format signals the necessity of strong copy protection systems. Violating this principle will turn advocates into the sorely oppressed: since there's little chance of making out a living like this, there enters not only no outlet for more creative works but also a great weakening of the incentive for works to be copyrighted and hence less innovation. Consequently, these considerations create a theoretical foundation for balancing copyright law in the digital era. DMCA tries to tackle these problems by taking our well-being as concerns copyright owners on the Internet simultaneously with effective enforcement of online copyrights. This explores whether DMCA supports or retards e-creativity; thus, it gives an insightful perspective into how law shapes creative environment as it maintains equilibrium between copyright protection and innovation these laws are meant for.

## 4. METHODOLOGY

A multi-method research study was conducted to present a comprehensive analysis of the impact of the DMCA on online creativity. It uses different approaches, such as legal analysis, case study analysis and qualitative interviews which are detailed examinations of whether copyright protection is effective and innovation encouraged in the digital sphere through DMCA. The first two parts will address important legal cases and controversies illustrating how DMCA has directly affected creators' works, content users and digital platforms. The application and interpretation of safe harbour provision, anti-circumvention provision, and notice-and-takedown provision within its framework would be addressed in relation to various contexts. What does this law imply for different people? Case studies provide insights into those questions from different points of view.

# **Legal Analysis**

The portion about legal analysis contains minutely expounded interpretation of DMCA text itself as well as modifications thereof while also taking into consideration related regulatory guidelines. By analysing why Congress adopted this particular statute, it shows different theoretical approaches that underpin it and displays legal norms operating in copyright law to support such development. This part of my paper seeks to identify where it meets its goals according to its sponsors while pinpointing some areas that need change.

# **Qualitative Interviews**

To enrich the case study and legal analyses; this study also includes quote interviews with stakeholders who are involved with DMCA such as content producers, copyright experts or scholars in digital media field, lawyers etc. that these interviews are able to show real life examples, which can give a true insight into each of the cases since they come just after one relevant section.

## **Data Synthesis**

This chapter consolidates the findings from case studies, legal analysis and interviews to determine how DMCA affected digital creativity across these four jurisdictions. The objective was to make the current view of what is really happening on internet these days less sedated through theoretical debates objectifying practical evidence, opinions and more as an article designed to show some colour range in the meaning of DMCA effects.

# 5. ANALYSIS

This part of the analysis discusses different provisions in DMCA and how they work (or not) themselves out in practice to promote online creativity, demonstrating that using legal frameworks — rule-books like safe harbours, notice-and-takedown regime or anti-circumvention measures can help a bit.



Safe harbour provisions were included in Title II of the DMCA so that ISPs and other online service providers would not be found liable for infringement by their users if they follow certain rules. It has enabled platforms where people can share without being sued left and right. And yet these same regulations were criticized as they might lead down the road to overbroad licensing which would be subsequently abused and require them being censored through fake or dubious copyright claims against their websites.

# **Notice-and-Takedown Process**

Using the notice-and-takedown system, a copyright holder can ask websites or other online platforms to remove copyrighted materials. What was originally only intended as a lightweight enforcement mechanism for copyright law in the digital age has had significantly varied consequences for online creativity, Critics maintain, however that the notice-and-takedown system is most easily abused through false reports by which lawful content—including legitimate fair uses—may be encouraged to remain offline and innovation may continue being stifled. By contrast, supporters find regulation indispensable in preserving traditional rights in a rapidly changing cyberspace.

## **Anti-Circumvention Measures**

As it turns out, bypassing technological restrictions that control access to copyrighted works violates Section 1201 of the DMCA. These anti-circumvention measures were meant to thwart piracy, after all --- but they've also been criticised of quashing fair use research and development work; some DRM technologies prevent both the creators and consumers from novel forms of content experimentation through future web-based content creativity grinding down.

## Case History: Legal Battles and Controversies

In practice, there have been many high-profile legal cases surrounding DMCA which demonstrates how complex/ difficult it can be. Viacom International Inc. v. YouTube, Inc., is a good example of how copyright law and the platform-based creative economy meet at the edges of safe harbouring with user-generated content democratization. In another, Universal Music Corp. v Lenz being held whether fair use doctrine and other defences must be taken note in takedown notices by copyright owners enforcing their rights. The results reveal the impact of DMCA on online creativity and conflict it has waged over the last twenty years.

# 6. CHALLENGES AND CRITICISMS

While the DMCA has in many respects been a touchstone for modernizing copyright law to accommodate digital technologies, it has also been an enormously problematic and controversial statute. At the top of their list has been notice-and-takedown system reliance, where by now it looks ripe for abuse to most even within this Act that is tailor-made to enhance copyright enforcement. What they say is that it takes down really big chunks of content, and doesn't even bother verifying whether the copyright claims are legitimate in most cases. So here a concern is misuse, via which rights holding entities can prevent legitimate interests in copyrights from being satisfied by using the system to eliminate competitors or opposing views.

The second issue that has caused a lot of controversy around the DMCA is its anti-circumvention provisions, which were essentially designed to fight copyright piracy by making it illegal to circumvent any technological measure used in conjunction with protected works. But a few onlookers also say they've discouraged creativity in the professors who have been responding to them, because professors see some of these restrictions as so cumbersome that they prevent their ability to engage with digital materials in ways that could cultivate new kinds imaginative expression; and thus, grows clamorous calls for reform at such intersections between those clauses and fair use rights.

Furthermore, some claim that the DMCA is out of date as new technology evolves just too quickly for these rules. This implies that parts covered by DMCA over time might become either irrelevant or inadequate new technologies such as artificial intelligence block chain the internet of things among others. As these changes are getting involved gradually, and this entwine act is rigid in nature than it becomes hard for immediate adoption after them hence resulting gaps protection of violation privacy copyright leading into enforcement gateways..

# Impact on Creators and Innovation

There are pros and cons to DCMA legislation on both authors' sides also. The Act would clearly please creators particularly artists who depend on their works a life support system since it armours intellectual property rights with venomous protests. In other cases, the protection of these rights can be too aggressive and dampen innovation. For example, fear of receiving takedown notices may discourage creators from developing transformative works like remixes or parodies which are part and parcel of the vibrant culture of online creativity.

There were also some unintended consequences for innovation. The strictness of anti-circumvention laws has made it difficult for technologists or even those with thoughts concerning the use methods that involve existing digital content to be able to experiment. This calls into question whether DMCA is really promoting an environment that can encourage inventions which were meant to be protected under this act in its current version.

## 7. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Apart from exploring DMCA within the United States, it is necessary to understand how countries' legislations outside of America address digital copyright protections. This segment shall examine DMCA vis-à-vis copyright laws of different nations, like European Union's Copyright Directive and Canada's Copyright Modernization Act.

An example would be the European Union Copyright Directive also known as Article 17 that has introduced more stringent rules for online platforms in terms of their responsibility to prevent infringement, thereby moving some of this burden from users to such platforms; this is unlike the safe harbor provisions under DMCA that shield these service providers so long as they are compliant with takedown notices. The hyperlinks are associated with the corresponding articles so one can talk about how various approaches lead to different liability due only to platforms, protection of user rights and innovation.

The DMCA notice-and-takedown system can be contrasted with Canada's "notice-and-notice" regime. While in Canada, an alleged infringer will be notified of the claim against them but their content is not automatically deleted to avoid misuse whilst respecting legitimate works. A talk like this could help specify the actions that might occur on these topic

# 8. DISCUSSION

This section covers the battle of copyright law vs how digital content has opened up distribution/use online. Hence it begs the question - How DMCAs into online creativity makes a good topic of discussion by scrutinizing on what happens to those who create stuff for internet, given with DMCA restrictions.

#### **Research Findings**

In the early days of fast-paced change in technology, DMCA was enacted to strike a balance between protecting rights owners' interests and promoting innovation — as well providing breathing room for freedom of expression on digital platforms. In addition to the safe-harbour provisions of the DMCA, which have been crucial for user-generated content sites, studies show that notice-and-takedown procedures and anti-circumvention measures worry many researchers about their effects on online creative projects (and invention) as well.

Safe Harbour provisions have afforded numerous avenues to help people upload their videos on sites such as YouTube for others to view. But still some people argue that these using this clause is weak as it doesn't provide enough protection against copyright breaches and others just want to hold on the rights with objectivity of publishing all would be stopping innovation or freedom at large.

One of the success stories or failures depending on whom you ask takedown element in DCMA, Notice-and-Takedown has had some keys to protecting copyrights on web. But like manner got some degree effect too. It is a way to request removals or some other compulsory action of materials infringing them allowing any holder of copyright but can sometimes in the wrong hands be used for evil, resulting into false positives and discouraging even freedom speech rights like well artistic creation too at times.

# DMCA in Context: Lessons for Digital Copyright Reform

Anti-Circumvention Provisions, designed to support locks or other technological measures that restrict access knowledge - e.g., education scholars who depend on the fair dealings exception clauses present in these laws when research their work has an effect also scientific inquiry too commentary should free a public domain though debates exist with enforcers culture new ideas digitally.

## Digital Rights Management (DRM)

One of the continuing sticking points in copyright debates is DRM, largely because DCMA anticircumvention provisions remain on place. The measures take steps to protect patent, but they may also fence in access and turn legitimate uses into anything-goes black boxes; this of course undermines fairness as information should ideally move freely between the people who need it most when using different devices compatible with assorted formats.

## User-Generated Content (UGC)

While DMCA served as the lightning rod for user generated content (UGC), there were still provisions & areas where copyright law needs to be updated impeded by new means of expression and collaboration in your digital age.

## 9. FINAL REFLECTIONS

This is somewhat of a seminal study in the space and Bill Zeller writes about DMCA which can be viewed as critical to maintaining copyright on the web. As explained, the DMCA has to through a lot of workarounds that can make it useful in protecting copyrighted works but may also create confusion and hinder creativity if we consider solving those problems around these Act while some part start becoming out-of-date with respect to digital age as being always characterized by. That search is ongoing and the work on copyright protection of creative works in cyberspace beneath new technologies as well as updated consumer behaviors while access substances will also determine what happens moving onward. We simply need to think differently about copyrights, such as those in the DMCA, then they can stop blocking innovation online and allowing everyone a fair opportunity namely their chance at riches by promoting themselves through artistic endeavors..

# 10. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

## Strengthening Fair Use and Supporting Online Expression

Amend the DMCA to include new fair-use regulations adapted specifically for digital media. Perhaps one approach would be to identify categories of remixes and mash-ups that are more likely — non-commercial! — going to comprise fair use for the benefit artists and users alike. Include a Fair Use exception in the DMCA anti-circumvention ban to enable practices such as research, commentary and critique, or parody. This is how copyright law can provide authors and entrepreneurs with the protection they desire while not impeding legitimate adaptations of proprietary culture.

# • Fine-Tuning the Notice-and-Takedown System

Implement a notification and notice similar to Canada, which allows copyright holders to send notices of their infringing works; after receiving the notice, The service provider forwards it on (without identifying who posted) so users can respond before having content removed. This may help in curbing unmerited content removals and rights holders to communicate with users. Permit tougher fines for questionable and bogus takedown notices under DMCA to prevent misuse of economy and defend trustworthy casualties from unsubstantiated charges.

# Promoting Technology and Creativity in a Digital Age

Support the development of more flexible and user-friendly voluntary licensing models in the online environment which, among other things may include standardised licences suitable for different types of creative content that might function as a framework allowing platforms to enable remixing by creators when it is not possible or feasible to obtain rights from individual rights holders. Regular review of copyright laws in the context of technological changes, as well shifts ill behaviour on Internet needs to be conducted especially with new technologies emerging - Al (Artificial

**\*** 

Intelligence) or block chain may have an impact upon how enforcement measures around copyrights will need to change along with digital content production methods. remote dialogue between policymakers and all stakeholders, especially rights holders (when appropriate) with creators and users leading to implementable outcomes weighing each interest at play. One way to do this is by establishing forums or working groups that seek new strategies for enforcing copyright in the digital era, without stifling creativity.

## 11. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

How quickly our legal frameworks can catch up with changes in technology and new forms of internet-based creativity will shape the future of copyright law. These copyright law and DMCA amendments recommendations are simply in service of developing flexibility, as well strength into the legislation to allow for creativity unencumbered by irrelevant or outdated legal barriers. In a world of fast technological development in the digital age, policy and law offices must sit together with copyright holders on one hand and creators/users on yet another. Copyright legislation must evolve to meet the needs of a digital world, whilst also protecting creator rights and encouraging unfettered innovation and creativity across the vast new worlds opened up by our online environment — and this is only going to be possible with cooperation.

# 12. CONCLUSION

This article presents a scholarly analysis of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA), as it fits within broader copyright law and online creative culture. Certainly it has done well in many places and especially within the U.S., according to research conducted for this study, but there are issues with rapid changes related to technology. While the DMCA has been important in modernizing copyright to information age laws, such as making user-generated contents possible, it also needs major overhaul on rights regarding what belongs you can do with your property while maintaining an open-wheel net.

This is where intermediaries come in, they have a role to fill too: helping protect makers and consumers alike. This draws in other content creators who also see a benefit through feeding off the vacuum that is created by this monopolistic practice. Copyright should be further developed as part dynamic cultural ecology, capable of rewarding craft laborers while allowing an ecosystem of variety to flourish for audiences and platforms alike. Thus, DMCA as modern copyright law and a reflection of ongoing battle that took place during the period when technological advancement is far beyond, more than ever before.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use. University of Chicago Press.
- 2. Boyle, J. (2008). The Public Domain: Enclosing the Commons of the Mind. Yale University Press.
- 3. Cohen, J. E. (2012). Configuring the Networked Self: Law, Code, and the Play of Everyday Practice. Yale University Press.
- 4. Digital Millennium Copyright Act, Pub. L. No. 105-304, 112 Stat. 2860 (1998).
- 5. Fisher, W. (2013). *Promises to Keep: Technology, Law, and the Future of Entertainment*. Stanford University Press.
- 6. Lessig, L. (2004). Free Culture: The Nature and Future of Creativity. Penguin Books.
- 7. Litman, J. (2010). *Digital Copyright: Protecting Intellectual Property on the Internet*. Prometheus Books.
- 8. Reid, J. (2015). The effects of the DMCA anti-circumvention provisions on academic research. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 10(11), 845-854. https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpv145
- 9. Samuelson, P. (2009). The Google Book Settlement: Realizing the potential of the public domain. *California Law Review*, 97(3), 1219-1252.
- 10. Tushnet, R. (2014). Copyright's copy wrongs. The Yale Law Journal, 123(3), 633-682.
- 11. Universal Music Corp. v. Lenz, 801 F.3d 1126 (9th Cir. 2015).



- 12. Muhammad Hamza Zakir, Syed Hammad Khan, Zeeshan Anwar, & Anum Ali. (2023). Trademark Infringement on Social Media Platforms: A Comparative Analysis of Regulatory Responses in Pakistan, China, and the US. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN AND SOCIETY, 3(3), 304-316. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.ijhs.com.pk/index.php/IJHS/article/view/348">https://www.ijhs.com.pk/index.php/IJHS/article/view/348</a>
- 13. Zakir, M. H., Rashna, & Ali, S. (2023). CROSS-BORDER TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT IN THE DIGITAL AGE: JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES AND HARMONIZATION EFFORTS. PAKISTAN ISLAMICUS (An International Journal of Islamic & Social Sciences), 3(2), 51-69. Retrieved from <a href="https://pakistanislamicus.com/index.php/home/article/view/44">https://pakistanislamicus.com/index.php/home/article/view/44</a>
- 14. Zakir, M. H. (2020). Bilateral Trade Agreements and Trademark Protection: A Comparative Study of China and Pakistan. International Review of Social Sciences, 8(12), 493-504.
- 15. Zakir, M. H. (2023). The Challenges and Opportunities for Intellectual Property Rights Protection in Pakistan. International Review of Basic and Applied Sciences, 11(2), 48-57.https://irbas.academyirmbr.com/paper\_details.php?title=The\_Challenges\_and\_Opportunities\_for\_Intellectual\_Property\_Rights\_Protection\_in\_Pakistan
- 16. Urban, J. M., Karaganis, J., & Schofield, B. L. (2017). Notice and takedown in everyday practice. *UC Berkeley Public Law Research Paper*, (No. 2755628).
- 17. Viacom International Inc. v. YouTube, Inc., 676 F.3d 19 (2nd Cir. 2012).
- 18. World Intellectual Property Organization. (1996). WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT).
- 19. World Intellectual Property Organization. (1996). WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT).