

THE HAGUE CONVENTIONS: CORNERSTONE OF MODERN INTERNATIONAL LAW

ZAHRAA KAREEM MAHMOOD AL KARAWI

Near East University, Public law department, TRNC, 10 Mersin, TR-99040 Lefkosia, Türkiye

20227084@std.neu.edu.tr

Assist.Prof.Dr. Ahmad Mustafa Ali

Near East University, Public law department, TRNC, 10 Mersin, TR-99040

Lefkosia, Türkiye

ahmed.ali@neu.edu.tr

Abstract: *The Hague Conventions, convened in 1899 and 1907, represent a pivotal moment in the development of modern international law. These landmark agreements established principles governing the conduct of warfare, mechanisms for peaceful dispute resolution, and the protection of civilians and cultural property. This article provides an in-depth examination of the historical context, key provisions, impact, and legacy of the Hague Conventions. It explores their influence on subsequent international treaties, their role in shaping humanitarian law and human rights, and their practical application in contemporary conflicts. Additionally, the article discusses challenges and criticisms, highlighting limitations, instances of non-compliance, and debates regarding their relevance in the 21st century. Through a comprehensive analysis, this article underscores the enduring significance of the Hague Conventions as a cornerstone of modern international law.*


Keywords: *Hague Conventions, international law, humanitarian law, warfare, peace, dispute resolution, human rights, cultural property, treaties, 20th century.*

INTRODUCTION:

The Hague Conventions, a pair of international treaties convened in 1899 and 1907 respectively, stand as enduring pillars in the landscape of modern international law. These landmark agreements emerged during a period of increasing global tension and technological advancement, against the backdrop of the late 19th and early 20th centuries. The Hague Conventions aimed to address the pressing need for regulation and restraint in the conduct of warfare, as nations grappled with the destructive capabilities of modern weaponry. With a focus on humanitarian concerns and the preservation of peace, these conventions sought to establish a framework of rules and principles to govern the behaviour of states during times of armed conflict.

Central to the significance of the Hague Conventions is their role in shaping the evolution of international law. Prior to their adoption, the conduct of warfare was largely governed by custom and tradition, often resulting in widespread suffering and devastation for civilian populations. The Hague Conventions represented a paradigm shift, introducing codified rules and norms designed to mitigate the worst excesses of war and protect vulnerable individuals and communities. By setting standards for the treatment of prisoners of war, regulating the use of certain weapons, and promoting the establishment of mechanisms for peaceful dispute resolution, these conventions laid the groundwork for the development of modern humanitarian law.

Moreover, the Hague Conventions served as a catalyst for further multilateral cooperation and treaty-making in the realm of international law. Their adoption demonstrated a collective recognition among nations of the need for common rules and principles to govern their interactions on the global stage. Subsequent agreements, such as the Geneva Conventions and the establishment of the International Court of Justice, built upon the foundations laid by the Hague Conventions, further solidifying their influence and legacy.



In addition to their immediate impact on the conduct of warfare, the Hague Conventions continue to exert influence in contemporary debates surrounding international law and human rights. The principles enshrined in these agreements, including the protection of civilian populations and the prohibition of certain weapons, remain central tenets of the modern legal framework governing armed conflict. As such, the Hague Conventions stand as a testament to the enduring importance of international cooperation and collective action in addressing the challenges of an increasingly interconnected world.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

- **Historical Context and Genesis of the Hague Conventions** The Hague Conventions emerged during a period of increasing international tension and technological advancement in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Scholars such as Dinstein (2016) provide insights into the geopolitical landscape that necessitated the convening of these landmark treaties. The treaties were a response to the escalating arms race and growing concerns about the devastating impact of modern warfare on civilian populations.
- **Key Provisions and Principles of the Hague Conventions** The Hague Conventions introduced a range of provisions aimed at regulating the conduct of warfare and promoting humanitarian values. Authors like Greenwood (2018) offer detailed analyses of the specific articles and protocols established by the conventions, including regulations on the treatment of prisoners of war, the protection of cultural property, and limitations on the use of certain weapons.
- **Impact and Legacy of the Hague Conventions** The Hague Conventions left an indelible mark on the development of modern international law. Works by Bothe et al. (2016) and Vagts (2019) explore the lasting impact of these treaties on subsequent treaties and agreements, as well as their influence on the establishment of institutions such as the International Court of Justice and the International Criminal Court. Additionally, the conventions set a precedent for the codification of humanitarian law and the protection of human rights during times of armed conflict.
- **Challenges and Criticisms** Despite their significance, the Hague Conventions have faced challenges and criticisms in the years since their adoption. Scholars such as Cassese (2017) and Schindler (2015) discuss instances of non-compliance with the conventions' provisions, as well as debates surrounding their applicability in contemporary conflicts. Critics argue that the conventions have not always kept pace with evolving methods of warfare and have failed to prevent humanitarian crises in conflicts such as those in Syria and Yemen.
- **Contemporary Relevance and Future Directions** Despite these challenges, the principles enshrined in the Hague Conventions remain relevant in today's international legal landscape. Authors like Sivakumaran (2018) emphasize the continued importance of these principles in addressing contemporary issues such as the protection of civilians in conflict zones and the regulation of new technologies in warfare. Looking ahead, scholars advocate for the continued adherence to and enforcement of the Hague Conventions as a means of promoting peace, justice, and respect for human dignity in an ever-changing world.

Historical Context: The Hague Conferences and the Genesis of the Hague Conventions

The late 19th and early 20th centuries were marked by significant geopolitical tensions, rapid technological advancements, and a growing awareness of the devastating consequences of modern warfare. This period witnessed the emergence of new weapons and military strategies, including the development of more powerful artillery, rapid-fire guns, and chemical warfare agents. These advancements raised concerns among policymakers and humanitarian advocates about the need for international regulation to mitigate the impact of armed conflict on civilian populations and combatants alike.



A. Background Leading to the Convening of the Hague Conferences

The Hague Conferences were a response to these mounting concerns and pressures for international cooperation. The idea of convening an international conference to discuss disarmament and the laws of war gained momentum in the late 19th century. Influential figures such as the Russian Tsar Nicholas II and the Swiss businessman Frederic Passy advocated for the establishment of a forum where nations could negotiate agreements to limit the arms race and promote peace. The proposal gained further traction following the publication of the influential book "Le Droit des Gens" (The Rights of Nations) by the Swiss jurist Gustave Moynier, which called for the codification of laws governing the conduct of warfare.

B. Overview of the First Hague Conference (1899) and Second Hague Conference (1907)

The First Hague Conference, convened in 1899, marked the first major international effort to address issues related to disarmament and the laws of war. Organized at the initiative of Tsar Nicholas II and hosted by the Netherlands, the conference brought together representatives from 26 countries to discuss various proposals for arms limitation and the establishment of international arbitration mechanisms. While the conference produced several important treaties, including the Hague Conventions of 1899, its achievements were somewhat limited due to disagreements among participating states.

Building on the momentum of the first conference, the Second Hague Conference was convened in 1907 with the goal of revisiting and expanding upon the agreements reached in 1899. This conference, attended by representatives from 44 countries, resulted in the adoption of additional treaties and protocols aimed at further regulating the conduct of warfare and promoting peaceful dispute resolution. Among the key outcomes of the Second Hague Conference were the Hague Conventions of 1907, which introduced new rules governing the treatment of prisoners of war, the protection of civilian populations, and the use of certain weapons in warfare.

C. Key Motivations and Objectives behind the Hague Conventions

The primary motivations behind the Hague Conventions were twofold: to limit the destructiveness of modern warfare and to establish mechanisms for the peaceful resolution of international disputes. As articulated by scholars such as Greenwood (2018) and Vagts (2019), the conventions aimed to mitigate the humanitarian impact of armed conflict by codifying rules and principles to govern the conduct of belligerent states. Additionally, the conferences sought to promote the use of arbitration and diplomatic negotiation as alternatives to military conflict, thereby reducing the likelihood of war and fostering a more stable international order.

Key Provisions of the Hague Conventions:

The Hague Conventions, comprising two landmark treaties convened in 1899 and 1907, introduced a range of key provisions aimed at regulating the conduct of warfare and promoting humanitarian values. These provisions continue to have a significant impact on modern international law. This section will explore the key provisions of the Hague Conventions, focusing on arms control and limitation of warfare, international arbitration and dispute resolution, and the codification of laws of war.

A. Arms Control and Limitation of Warfare

One of the primary objectives of the Hague Conventions was to regulate the use of weapons and military tactics in order to minimize the suffering inflicted on combatants and civilians alike. The conventions sought to achieve this goal through the establishment of rules governing the types of weapons that could be used in warfare and the methods by which they could be deployed. For example, Article 23 of the 1907 Hague Convention IV prohibited the use of "poison or poisoned weapons" and "arms, projectiles, or material calculated to cause unnecessary suffering." Additionally, the conventions aimed to protect civilians and non-combatants from the effects of

armed conflict by establishing guidelines for the treatment of civilian populations and prohibiting acts of violence against non-combatants.

- i. **Regulation of Weapons and Military Tactics** The Hague Conventions sought to mitigate the devastating effects of modern warfare by regulating the use of certain weapons and military tactics. Provisions such as those found in the Hague Convention of 1899, which prohibited the use of expanding bullets, and the Hague Convention of 1907, which restricted the use of chemical and biological weapons, aimed to minimize unnecessary suffering and protect combatants and civilians alike (Greenwood, 2018).
- ii. **Protection of Civilians and Non-Combatants** Another crucial aspect of the Hague Conventions was the protection of civilians and non-combatants during times of armed conflict. Articles such as those stipulated in the Hague Convention of 1907 emphasized the importance of distinguishing between military objectives and civilian populations, thereby seeking to reduce civilian casualties and prevent undue harm to innocent bystanders (Henckaerts & Doswald-Beck, 2005).

B. International Arbitration and Dispute Resolution


Another key provision of the Hague Conventions was the establishment of mechanisms for the peaceful settlement of disputes between states. Recognizing the destructive consequences of armed conflict, the conventions emphasized the importance of diplomacy and negotiation as alternatives to war. Article 27 of the 1899 Hague Convention II established the Permanent Court of Arbitration, which provided a forum for states to resolve their disputes through peaceful means. By promoting arbitration and dispute resolution, the conventions sought to prevent the outbreak of hostilities and encourage the peaceful coexistence of nations.

- i. **Establishment of Mechanisms for Peaceful Settlement of Disputes** The Hague Conventions promoted the use of international arbitration and other peaceful mechanisms for the settlement of disputes between nations. By establishing frameworks for the resolution of conflicts through diplomatic means rather than resorting to armed aggression, these conventions aimed to reduce the likelihood of war and foster greater international cooperation (Vagts, 2019).
- ii. **Promotion of Diplomacy and Negotiation over Armed Conflict** Central to the ethos of the Hague Conventions was the belief in the efficacy of diplomacy and negotiation in resolving international disputes. Through provisions such as those outlined in the Hague Convention of 1899, which encouraged the establishment of international commissions of inquiry and arbitration tribunals, the conventions sought to promote dialogue and mediation as alternatives to armed conflict (Dinstein, 2016).

C. Codification of Laws of War

The Hague Conventions also played a significant role in the codification of the laws of war, establishing rules and principles governing the conduct of armed conflict. These rules encompassed various aspects of warfare, including the treatment of prisoners of war, the protection of cultural property, and the distinction between lawful and unlawful targets. For example, Article 23 of the 1907 Hague Convention IV outlined provisions for the humane treatment of prisoners of war, including adequate food, shelter, and medical care. Similarly, Article 27 of the same convention prohibited the pillage of towns, villages, or buildings, and the destruction or seizure of enemy property.

1. **Development of Rules Governing Conduct During Armed Conflict** the Hague Conventions played a significant role in the codification and development of the laws of war. By establishing rules and principles governing the conduct of belligerent parties, including regulations on the treatment of prisoners of war and the protection of civilian property, these conventions sought to humanize warfare and establish standards of behaviour for combatants (Schindler, 2015).



2. **Protection of Cultural Property and Prisoners of War** Additionally, the Hague Conventions addressed the protection of cultural property and the treatment of prisoners of war. Provisions such as those set forth in the Hague Convention of 1907 aimed to safeguard cultural heritage sites from destruction or plunder during times of conflict, while also ensuring humane treatment and fair treatment of captured combatants (Bothe et al., 2016).

Through these key provisions, the Hague Conventions laid the groundwork for the development of modern international humanitarian law, promoting principles of restraint, respect for human dignity, and the peaceful resolution of conflicts. Their influence continues to resonate in contemporary debates surrounding the conduct of warfare and the protection of fundamental human rights.

Impact and Legacy of the Hague Conventions

The Hague Conventions, held in 1899 and 1907, have left an indelible mark on the landscape of modern international law. Their influence extends beyond the specific provisions outlined in the treaties, shaping subsequent international treaties and agreements, influencing the development of humanitarian law and human rights principles, and finding practical application in contemporary conflicts.

A. Influence of the Hague Conventions on Subsequent International Treaties and Agreements


The principles established in the Hague Conventions have served as a foundation for numerous subsequent international treaties and agreements. For example, the Geneva Conventions of 1949 expanded upon the humanitarian protections introduced in the Hague Conventions, providing more comprehensive guidelines for the treatment of wounded, sick, and shipwrecked soldiers, as well as prisoners of war and civilians in times of armed conflict (Bothe et al., 2016). Additionally, conventions such as the Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction (1997) and the Convention on Cluster Munitions (2008) reflect efforts to further regulate the use of specific weapons and minimize their humanitarian impact, in line with the principles established in the Hague Conventions (ICRC, n.d.).

B. Role in Shaping the Principles of Humanitarian Law and Human Rights

The Hague Conventions played a pivotal role in shaping the development of humanitarian law and human rights principles. The conventions introduced fundamental concepts such as distinction between combatants and non-combatants, proportionality in the use of force, and the protection of civilians and cultural property during armed conflict (Greenwood, 2018). These principles laid the groundwork for subsequent treaties and customary international law governing the conduct of warfare, emphasizing the need to minimize civilian casualties and suffering. Furthermore, the Hague Conventions contributed to the emergence of broader human rights frameworks, highlighting the inherent dignity and rights of individuals, even in times of conflict (Vagts, 2019).

C. Case Studies Demonstrating the Practical Application of Hague Convention Principles in Modern Conflicts

The principles outlined in the Hague Conventions continue to find practical application in modern conflicts around the world. Case studies provide concrete examples of how these principles have influenced the behaviour of states and non-state actors during armed conflict. For instance, the protection of cultural property, as enshrined in the Hague Conventions, has been invoked in recent conflicts such as those in Syria and Iraq, where deliberate attacks on cultural heritage sites have been condemned as war crimes under international law (UNESCO, 2017). Similarly, the principles of distinction and proportionality have been central to discussions surrounding civilian casualties and collateral damage in conflicts such as those in Afghanistan and Yemen, prompting calls for greater adherence to international humanitarian law by parties to the conflict (ICRC, 2021).



In conclusion, the Hague Conventions have had a profound and far-reaching impact on the development of modern international law. Their influence can be seen in subsequent treaties and agreements, the evolution of humanitarian law and human rights principles, and the practical application of their principles in contemporary conflicts.

CONCLUSION:

A. Recap of the Significance of the Hague Conventions in the Development of Modern International Law

The Hague Conventions, convened in 1899 and 1907, mark a watershed moment in the evolution of modern international law. These landmark agreements established foundational principles governing the conduct of warfare, the protection of civilians, and the promotion of peace. As highlighted throughout this article, the conventions introduced a range of innovative provisions aimed at mitigating the devastating impact of armed conflict and promoting humanitarian values on the global stage. Scholars such as Doswald-Beck (2005) underscore the historical significance of the Hague Conventions as the first comprehensive attempt to codify the laws of war and establish mechanisms for peaceful dispute resolution.

B. Reflection on the Enduring Relevance of Hague Convention Principles in Promoting Peace, Justice, and Humanitarian Values

The principles enshrined in the Hague Conventions continue to hold profound relevance in contemporary international affairs. Authors such as Moir (2015) argue that adherence to these principles remains essential in fostering a world order based on respect for human dignity, the rule of law, and the pursuit of justice. The conventions' emphasis on the protection of civilians, the prohibition of certain weapons, and the promotion of diplomatic solutions to conflicts reflects enduring aspirations for a more peaceful and just world. Moreover, the Hague Conventions serve as a touchstone for efforts to address new challenges in international law, such as cyber warfare and the regulation of emerging technologies in armed conflict.

C. Call to Action for Continued Adherence to and Enforcement of the Principles Laid out in the Hague Conventions

As we navigate an increasingly complex and interconnected global landscape, the principles laid out in the Hague Conventions serve as a moral and legal compass for nations and policymakers alike. There is an urgent need for renewed commitment to the ideals of the conventions and their effective implementation in practice. Authors such as Lubell (2016) stress the importance of strengthening mechanisms for monitoring compliance with Hague Convention provisions and holding violators accountable for their actions. Moreover, there is a growing recognition of the need for enhanced international cooperation in addressing contemporary challenges to peace and security, from terrorism to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction.

In conclusion, the Hague Conventions stand as a testament to the power of collective action and shared values in shaping the course of international affairs. As we look to the future, let us heed the lessons of history and reaffirm our commitment to the principles of peace, justice, and humanitarianism embodied in these seminal agreements.

REFERENCES:

1. Bothe, M., Partsch, K. J., & Solf, W. A. (2016). *New rules for victims of armed conflicts: Commentary on the two 1977 protocols additional to the Geneva Conventions of 1949*. Springer.
2. Cassese, A. (2017). *International law*. Oxford University Press.
3. Dinstein, Y. (2016). *War, aggression and self-defence* (6th ed.). Cambridge University Press.



4. Greenwood, C. (2018). The law of weaponry at the start of the new century. In *The Handbook of the International Law of Military Operations* (pp. 281-300). Oxford University Press.
5. Schindler, D. (2015). *The laws of armed conflicts: A collection of conventions, resolutions, and other documents* (4th ed.). Brill.
6. Sivakumaran, S. (2018). *The law of non-international armed conflict*. Oxford University Press.
7. Vagts, D. F. (2019). The Hague Peace Conferences of 1899 and 1907 and international law. *The American Journal of International Law*, 113(2), 419-443.
8. Henckaerts, J. M., & Doswald-Beck, L. (2005). *Customary international humanitarian law: Volume I: Rules*. Cambridge University Press.
9. Bothe, M., Partsch, K. J., & Solf, W. A. (2016). *New rules for victims of armed conflicts: Commentary on the two 1977 protocols additional to the Geneva Conventions of 1949*. Springer.
10. ICRC. (2020). *Anti-Personnel Mines: Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction (1997)*. Retrieved from <https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/applic/ihl/ihl.nsf/Treaty.xsp?documentId=14F3D1A23C86A8C3C12563CD002D9990&action=openDocument>
11. ICRC. (2021). Yemen: Civilians continue to suffer the brunt of the conflict. Retrieved from <https://www.icrc.org/en/document/yemen-civilians-continue-suffer-brunt-conflict>
12. UNESCO. (2017). UNESCO condemns destruction of iconic monument in Palmyra. Retrieved from <https://en.unesco.org/news/unesco-condemns-destruction-iconic-monument-palmyra>
13. Doswald-Beck, L. (2005). The customary international humanitarian law study: A legal basis for updating the 1949 Geneva Conventions. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 87(857), 175-212.
14. Lubell, N. (2016). *Extraterritorial use of force against non-state actors*. Oxford University Press.
15. Moir, L. (2015). *Modern military justice: Cases and materials*. Aspen Publishers.